inorganic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Pseudo-merohedrally twinned praseodymium hexacyanoferrate(III) tetrahydrate

Vratislav Langer,^a* Ľubomír Smrčok^b and Yoshio Masuda^c

^aDepartment of Environmental Inorganic Chemistry, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-41296 Göteborg, Sweden, ^bInstitute of Inorganic Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 9, SK-845 36 Bratislava, Slovak Republic, and ^cDepartment of Environmental Science, Faculty of Science, Nigata University, Nigata 950-2181, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: langer@chem.chalmers.se

Received 19 August 2004 Accepted 24 August 2004 Online 9 October 2004

Crystals of the title compound, diaquahexa- μ -cyanoferrate(III)praseodymium(III) dihydrate, Pr[Fe(CN)₆]·4H₂O or [PrFe(CN)₆(H₂O)₂]·2H₂O, are twinned with three components. The Pr atom is coordinated by eight atoms, *viz*. six N and two symmetry-related water O atoms. The Pr polyhedron (Pr has site symmetry *m*2*m*, Wyckoff position 4*c*) is linked to an FeC₆ octahedron (Fe located on a site with imposed 2/*m* symmetry, Wyckoff position 4*b*) through N atoms, forming an infinite array. The second (symmetry independent) water molecule lies on a mirror plane, is not included in coordination and is weakly hydrogen bonded to N atoms.

Comment

The crystal structures of $Pr[Fe(CN)_6] \cdot 5H_2O$ and Nd[Fe-(CN)_6] $\cdot 5H_2O$, solved in hexagonal cells ($P6_3/m$), have been reported (Wang *et al.*, 1999) to contain a water molecule with $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry located on a threefold axis. Such an arrangement is rather unusual and implies a disorder of H atoms. A very similar hexagonal structure has been found for a related compound, $Pr[Co(CN)_6] \cdot 5H_2O$, by Yukawa *et al.* (1996). For Nd[Co(CN)_6] $\cdot 5H_2O$, however, the same authors reported the non-centrosymmetric orthorhombic space group C222₁, even though the structures were expected to be similar.

In contrast with these pentahydrates, the structures of the tetrahydrates have usually been described in the orthorhombic space group *Cmcm*, where no such possible conflict between molecular and crystal symmetry is possible. Such structures are $\text{Er}[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]\cdot\text{4H}_2\text{O}$ (Mullica *et al.*, 1989; Marsh, 1989; Gramlich *et al.*, 1990), Gd[Fe(CN)_6]\cdot\text{4H}_2\text{O} (Mullica & Sappenfield, 1991), Nd[Co(CN)_6]\cdot\text{4H}_2\text{O} (Mullica *et al.*, 1996), Sm[Fe(CN)_6]\cdot\text{4H}_2\text{O} and Sm[Co(CN)_6]\cdot\text{4H}_2\text{O} (Mullica & Sappenfield, 1989), and Bi[Fe(CN)_6]\cdot\text{4H}_2\text{O} and Bi[Co-(CN)_6]·4H_2O (Petter & Gramlich, 1990). The only exception is the structure of La[Fe(CN)_6]\cdot\text{4H}_2\text{O} (Mullica *et al.*, 1980),



Figure 1

A view of the structure of Pr[Fe(CN)₆]·4H₂O, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x, y, $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) -x, y, z; (iii) x, y, $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (iv) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (v) x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (v) x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (vi) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 1 - z.]

which was reported, like La[Fe(CN)₆]·5H₂O (Bailey *et al.*, 1973), to be hexagonal (space group $P6_3/m$).

The diffraction data of the title compound were first processed as orthorhombic *C*-centred, with $R_{\rm sym} = 0.076$ before an absorption correction, which is quite acceptable for a crystal containing a heavy atom. However, when evaluating the data, the mean value of $\Sigma(E^2 - 1)$ was observed to be rather low, at 0.539 [expected value 0.968 for centrosymmetric and 0.736 for non-centrosymmetric space groups (Wilson,



Figure 2

The structure of $Pr[Fe(CN)_6]\cdot 4H_2O$ viewed along the *a* axis. Large spheres represent Pr atoms coordinated by N atoms and two molecules of water (O2). FeC₆ octahedra are shaded dark.



Figure 3

The hydrogen-bonding pattern in Pr[Fe(CN)₆]·4H₂O (dashed lines); details are given in Table 2. [Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 1 - z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z;$ (iii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z;$ (iv) $1 - x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (v) $\tilde{1} - x, y, z.$]

1985)]. This is usually an indicator of twinned crystals (Herbst-Irmer & Sheldrick, 1998). In attempting to solve the structure in the orthorhombic system, the best result that could be achieved was in space group $Cmc2_1$ (No. 36), with R = 0.151 on observed data and large residuals in the difference Fourier synthesis (14 and $-17 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$).

As the data indicated a pseudo-hexagonal symmetry with $R_{\text{sym}} = 0.164$, an attempt was made to solve the structure in space group $P6_3$ (No. 173). The best result achieved gave R =0.213, and again large residuals were found in the difference Fourier synthesis (6 and $-9 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$). Therefore, it was decided to use the hexagonal cell to solve the structure in the monoclinic system, space group $P2_1$ (No. 4). Even though the structure could be 'seen', the refinement was unsuccessful until the introduction of a twinning law (TWIN $001010\overline{1}0$ $\overline{1}$ 3) for a twinned crystal with three components. The refinement was then satisfactory, with R = 0.024 and acceptable residuals in the difference Fourier synthesis (0.7 and -1.5 e Å⁻³). At this stage, the analysis of the resulting structure by PLATON (Spek, 2001) clearly indicated the centrosymmetric space group Cmcm (No. 63). To complete the refinement in the Cmcm space group, the twinning law had to be reformulated as TWIN $-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}0 - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}00013$. The volume fractions of the twin refined to 0.580 (2), 0.210 (1) and 0.210 (2).

The crystal structure of Pr[Fe(CN)₆]·4H₂O consists of [Fe(CN)₆] octahedra, the N atoms of which also coordinate Pr atoms (Fig. 1). The polyhedron around the Pr atoms is completed by two water molecules (O2). The N atoms formally provide links between these two polyhedra, forming an infinite array (Fig. 2). The second water molecule (O1), as well as bonding to O2, also bonds weakly to three N atoms through hydrogen bonds, with atom H12 forming a symmetrical bifurcated bridge (Fig. 3).

The discrepancy between the expected and observed chemical composition can be best explained by the fact that at least some crystals of the batch dehydrated by losing a water molecule, and thus a more stable tetrahydrate was formed. Such a process has also been reported previously for metastable Nd[Co(CN)₆]·5H₂O and Pr[Co(CN)₆]·5H₂O (Yukawa et al., 1996).

Experimental

Potassium hexacyanoferrate(III), K₃[Fe(CN)₆], was purchased from Waco Pure Chemical Ltd, and praseodymium nitrate hexahydrate, $Pr(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, was purchased from the Shin-etu Chemical Co. The complex praseodymium hexacyanoferrate(III) pentahydrate, Pr[Fe(CN)₆]·5H₂O, was prepared by adding equimolar quantities of an aqueous solution of $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ (0.25 M) to a solution of $Pr(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.25 *M*). Single crystals of $Pr[Fe(CN)_6] \cdot 4H_2O$ were obtained from the mixture by keeping it at room temperature for several days. Although the synthesis was conducted to provide the pentahydrate, the analysed crystal turned out to be the tetrahydrate.

> Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 8192

reflections $\theta = 1.5 - 33.1^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 4.71 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 173 (2) K Irregular plate, dark red

 $R_{\rm int}=0.062$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 33.1^{\circ}$

 $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$

 $k = -19 \rightarrow 19$

 $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$

 $0.05 \times 0.04 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}$

1408 independent reflections

1388 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data

Data collection

```
Siemens SMART 1K CCD area-
  detector diffractometer
\omega scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
  (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2002)
  T_{\rm min}=0.816,\;T_{\rm max}=0.929
12 392 measured reflections
```

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.017$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0233P)^2]$		
$wR(F^2) = 0.039$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$		
S = 1.02	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$		
1408 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.52 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$		
61 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$		

Table 1 Selected interatomic distances (Å).

Pr1–O2 ⁱ	2.439 (2)	Fe1-C2	1.928 (3)
Pr1-O2	2.439 (2)	Fe1-C1	1.928 (2)
Pr1-N1 ⁱ	2.5438 (18)	Fe1-C1 ^{iv}	1.928 (2)
Pr1-N1 ⁱⁱ	2.5438 (18)	Fe1-C1 ^v	1.928 (2)
Pr1-N1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.5438 (18)	Fe1-C1 ⁱⁱ	1.928 (2)
Pr1-N1	2.5438 (18)	$N1-C1^{vi}$	1.156 (3)
Pr1-N2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.572 (3)	N2-C2	1.159 (4)
Pr1-N2	2.572 (3)	C1-N1 ^{vi}	1.156 (3)
Fe1-C2 ^{iv}	1.928 (3)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) -x, y, z; (iii) $x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iv) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (v) x, 1-y, 1-z; (vi) $\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, 1-z$.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1 - H11 \cdots N2^i$	0.83 (3)	2.31 (2)	3.137 (4)	173 (5)
$O1-H12\cdots N1^{ii}$	0.83 (3)	2.69 (2)	3.351 (3)	138 (2)
O2-H2···O1	0.82 (3)	2.01 (2)	2.809 (2)	166 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} + y, z$.

H atoms were refined isotropically, with a common atomic displacement parameter of 0.059 (9) Å and with O–H distances restrained to 0.82 (2) Å.

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: *SAINT* and *SADABS* (Sheldrick, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2001); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was partially supported by the Slovak Grant Agency VEGA under contract No. 2/3104/23.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1764). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Bailey, W. E., Williams, R. J. & Milligan, W. O. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 1365– 1368.
- Brandenburg, K. (2001). *DIAMOND*. Version 2.1.e. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

- Bruker (2001). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Gramlich, V., Petter, W. & Hulliger, F. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 724-726.
- Herbst-Irmer, R. & Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). Acta Cryst. B54, 443-449.
- Marsh, R. E. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1270-1270.
- Mullica, D. F., Hayward, P. K. & Sappenfield, E. L. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 61–63.
- Mullica, D. F., Milligan, W. O. & Garner, R. L. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 2561–2564.
- Mullica, D. F., Perkins, H. O., Sappenfield, E. L. & Leschnitzer, D. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 330–331.
- Mullica, D. F. & Sappenfield, E. L. (1989). J. Solid State Chem. 82, 168– 171.
- Mullica, D. F. & Sappenfield, E. L. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 2433-2435.
- Petter, W. & Gramlich, V. (1990). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 170, 5-9.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2002). SADABS. Version 2.03. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SMART and SAINT. Versions 4.0. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2001). *PLATON*. Version 80301. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Wang, X.-Y., Yukawa, Y. & Masuda, Y. (1999). J. Alloys Compd, 290, 85–90.
- Wilson, A. J. C. (1985). Structure and Statistics in Crystallography. Guilderland, New York: Adenine Press.
- Yukawa, Y., Igarashi, S., Kawaura, T. & Miyamoto, H. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* 35, 7399–7403.